



## **Cabinet Petitions Committee**

### 26<sup>th</sup> February 2020 at 5.00pm at the Sandwell Council House, Oldbury

**Present:** Councillors Millard (Chair), Hadley and Taylor.

#### 3/20 Minutes

**Resolved** that the minutes of the meeting held on 29<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 be confirmed as a correct record.

#### 4/20 **Progress Report**

Details were submitted of petitions received and of the action taken or proposed in each case, as detailed in the Appendix.

**Resolved** that the action taken or proposed, as detailed in the second column of the Appendix, be approved.

(Meeting ended at 5.26pm)

Contact Officer: Trisha Newton Democratic Services Unit 0121 569 3193

## Appendix

| Petition Received From |  | Action Taken/Proposed   |
|------------------------|--|---|
| 1.                     | Business/residents in the<br>vicinity of Bridge Street<br>South, Smethwick<br>requesting removal of<br>double yellow lines in<br>Bridge Street South or<br>provision of limited parking<br>to enable customer parking. | This matter was being investigated by<br>officers and an update would be submitted<br>to a future meeting of the Cabinet<br>Petitions Committee.  |
| 2.                     | Residents in the vicinity of<br>Eastwood Road/Jayshaw<br>Avenue, Great Barr<br>requesting that parking be<br>prevented on both sides of<br>Eastwood Road near its<br>junction with Jayshaw<br>Avenue during the day.   | This matter was being investigated by<br>officers and an update would be submitted<br>to a future meeting of the Cabinet<br>Petitions Committee.  |
| 3.                     | Residents in the vicinity of<br>Eastwood Road/Jayshaw<br>Avenue, Great Barr<br>requesting the verge be<br>restored and a replacement<br>tree to be planted in<br>Eastwood Road.  | This matter was being investigated by<br>officers and an update would be submitted<br>to a future meeting of the Cabinet<br>Petitions Committee.  |
| 4.                     | Service users/residents<br>against closure of Brandhall<br>Golf Course and Brandhall<br>Golf and Social Club.  | This matter was being investigated by<br>officers and an update would be submitted<br>to a future meeting of the Cabinet<br>Petitions Committee.  |
| 5                      | West Bromwich market<br>traders, shopkeepers and<br>shoppers requesting that<br>the Council liaises with<br>West Midlands Police<br>regarding anti-social<br>behaviour concerns.                                       | The issues had been raised at Borough<br>Tasking and a subsequent meeting was<br>held with stakeholders including West<br>Midlands Police, SMBC Markets and<br>Town Centre Management,<br>Neighbourhoods Team, Environmental<br>Protection and Enforcement, Sandwell<br>College, Kings Square Shopping Centre<br>and West Bromwich BID to discuss a<br>partnership-based approach to tackling<br>the issues raised in the petition. |

| Petition Received From |   | Action Taken/Proposed   |
|------------------------|---|---|
|                        |   | Each partner agreed to review their<br>operations and to report back the actions<br>they could contribute. A further update<br>would be provided to the Cabinet Petitions<br>Committee.   |
| 6.                     | Residents of the Hateley<br>Heath area requesting that<br>the SAPA building on<br>Hateley Heath estate be<br>brought back into use for<br>the community.                          | The availability of the building for<br>community purposes was advertised in an<br>SCVO newsletter and three formal<br>expressions of interest had been received.<br>The selection process for a community-<br>based organisation to make a bid for the<br>former SAPA building had commenced<br>and an application form would go out to<br>the three organisations who had<br>expressed an interest. The groups would<br>be given 28 days to submit their<br>proposals. A further update would be<br>provided to the Cabinet Petitions<br>Committee.   |
| 7.                     | Road users and residents in<br>Wednesbury requesting a<br>traffic light system at the<br>junction of Park Lane/Manor<br>House Road and Hobs<br>Road/ Hawthorn Road,<br>Wednesbury | The funding for road safety schemes such<br>as traffic signals was prioritised where<br>injury accidents were occurring. A five-<br>year injury accident analysis showed there<br>had been three recorded injury accidents<br>during this period. This was low when<br>compared to other locations that were<br>being considered for major traffic calming<br>schemes. Although this junction did not<br>meet the criteria for the installation of<br>traffic signals a road safety scheme to<br>implement additional carriageway<br>markings and vehicle activated speed<br>signs either side of the junction would be<br>undertaken. This would help to warn<br>drivers and reduce vehicle speeds on the<br>approach to the junction. The Committee<br>requested the junction be monitored to<br>ensure that the traffic calming measures<br>made a difference. Arrangements had<br>been made for a further traffic survey to<br>be undertaken along Park Lane. |

| Petition Received From |  | Action Taken/Proposed   |
|------------------------|--|---|
|                        |  | The data would then be compared to the traffic survey which was undertaken prior to the installation of the vehicle activated speed sign. An update would be provided to a future meeting of the Cabinet Petitions Committee.   |
| 8.                     | Residents of View Point,<br>Tividale requesting<br>installation of night and day<br>gates in the gulley located<br>at View Point.  | Greenbelt Group wished to assist in<br>reducing anti-social behaviour and would<br>not oppose the installation of gates in<br>principle, if this represented the wishes of<br>the 299 households which were currently<br>billed in respect of the areas. However,<br>Greenbelt Group had specified that the<br>Council would need to be responsible for<br>the maintenance thereafter, agree to<br>indemnify Greenbelt Group in respect of<br>the gates (e.g. to cover any injury) and<br>agree to fund the removal of the gates, if<br>and when required. The head petitioner<br>had advised that residents were not<br>prepared to contribute. As the land was<br>not in Council ownership the Council could<br>not take on the liability or maintenance of<br>the gates. No further action was proposed<br>by the Council. Following representations,<br>further investigation would be undertaken,<br>and a report would be submitted to a<br>future meeting of the Cabinet Petitions<br>Committee. |
| 9.                     | Service users/park visitors<br>requested immediate action<br>to stop the deaths and<br>illness of wildfowl at Victoria<br>Park, Smethwick Hall Park<br>and West Smethwick Park,<br>following a serious pollution<br>incident at Stoney Lane, be<br>desilting the pool and<br>restoring the park pools to a<br>safe environment for wildlife<br>and humans. | Due to a large number of wildfowl death at<br>Smethwick Hall Park, the Council had<br>facilitated a number of meetings with multi<br>agencies including the Environment<br>Agency, Severn Trent, RSPCA,<br>Animal Plant Health Agency and Swan<br>Watch where it was agreed that the build-<br>up of silt at Smethwick Hall Park was the<br>energy source for the Clostridium<br>Botulism.  |

| Petition Received From   | Action Taken/Proposed   |
|--|---|
|  | Avian Botulism had been identified as the<br>most probable cause of the bird deaths<br>although post mortems carried out by the<br>Animal Plant Health Authority had proved<br>inconclusive. Overall, presumptive<br>diagnosis was made based on the clinical<br>and epidemiological presentation of the<br>disease and absence of other obvious<br>causes of death on post-mortem<br>examination and laboratory testing. The<br>Council was now in the process of<br>procuring contractors to remove the silt<br>from the pool. Once obtained a report<br>would be provided for consideration. The<br>head petitioner had been informed.   |
| 10. Residents of Queens Road,<br>Smethwick had concerns<br>regarding speeding and<br>traffic accidents on Queens<br>Road, Smethwick. | A seven-day traffic survey had been<br>undertaken for Queens Road between<br>Basons Lane and the traffic island at the<br>junction with Warley Road. It showed that<br>85% of vehicles were travelling at<br>32.8mph or less (included both directions).<br>A three-year injury collision analysis had<br>also been undertaken and it showed there<br>has been one recorded injury collision.<br>When Queens Road was compared to<br>other roads in Sandwell it had a very low<br>number of injury collisions and did not<br>therefore meet the criteria for the<br>implementation of a traffic calming<br>scheme. Although the vehicle speeds<br>were slightly higher than the 30mph speed<br>limit, this was still within the parameters of<br>35mph set by the Police for enforcement<br>purposes. However, a carriageway lining<br>scheme was implemented in October last<br>year in response to enquiries received<br>from residents at Queens Road. |

# Cabinet Petitions Committee – 26<sup>th</sup> February 2020

| Petition Received From | Action Taken/Proposed   |
|------------------------|---|
|                        | Following representations submitted to the<br>Committee, a further traffic survey would<br>be undertaken in the Spring/Summer and<br>compared to the survey results from the<br>winter. An update would be provided to a<br>future meeting of the Cabinet Petitions<br>Committee. |